IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEBRASKA

PMI NEBRASKA, LLC,

Plaintiff,

4:18CV3126

VS.

AMENDED FINAL PROGRESSION ORDER

DAVID NORDHUES, an Individual; CHRIS GOLICK, an Individual; and PRECISION AG CONSTRUCTION, LLC, a Nebraska Limited Liability Company;

Defendants.

THIS MATTER is before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines. (Filing No. 65.) The motion is granted. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED that the provisions of the Court's earlier final progression order remain in effect, and in addition to those provisions, the following shall apply:

- 1) The pretrial conference scheduled for January 21, 2021 is canceled.
- A telephonic conference to discuss the status of **case progression and the parties'** interest in settlement will be held with the undersigned magistrate judge on **October 19, 2020** at **3:00 p.m.** Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. (Filing No. 53.)
- A telephonic conference to discuss the status of **case progression and trial and pretrial conference setting** will be held with the undersigned magistrate judge on **December 15, 2020 at 3:00 p.m.** Counsel shall use the conferencing instructions assigned to this case to participate in the conference. (Filing No. 53.)
- 4) The deadline for serving initial mandatory disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) is **October 18, 2019**.
- 5) The deadlines for the plaintiffs to move to amend pleadings or add parties is **November 15, 2019**. Defendants do not anticipate moving to amend pleadings or add parties.
- 6) The deadline for completing written discovery under Rules 33, 34, and 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure is **June 25, 2020**. Motions to compel discovery under Rules 33, 34, and 36 must be filed by **July 9, 2020**

Note: A motion to compel, to quash, or for a disputed protective order shall not be filed without first contacting the chambers of the undersigned magistrate judge to set a conference for discussing the parties' dispute.

7) The deadlines for identifying expert witnesses expected to testify at the trial, (both retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)</u>), and non-retained experts, (<u>Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)</u>), are:

For the Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendants: April 14, 2020
For the Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs: May 15, 2020
Rebuttal: June 12, 2020

8) The deadlines for complete expert disclosures¹ for all experts expected to testify at trial, (both retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(B)), and non-retained experts, (Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(C)), are:

For the Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendants: May 15, 2020 For the Defendants/Counterclaim Plaintiffs: July 14, 2020 Plaintiff/Counterclaim Defendants rebuttal: September 14, 2020

- 9) The deposition deadline is **October 13, 2020**.
 - a. The maximum number of depositions that may be taken by the plaintiffs as a group and the defendants as a group is 10.
 - b. Depositions will be limited by Rule 30(d)(1).
- 10) The deadline for filing motions to dismiss and motions for summary judgment is **December 8, 2020**.
- The deadline for filing motions to exclude testimony on *Daubert* and related grounds is **October 13, 2020**.
- 12) Motions in limine shall be filed seven days before the pretrial conference. It is not the normal practice to hold hearings on motions in limine or to rule on them prior to the first day of trial. Counsel should plan accordingly.
- The parties shall comply with all other stipulations and agreements recited in their Rule 26(f) planning report that are not inconsistent with this order.
- All requests for changes of deadlines or settings established herein shall be directed to the undersigned magistrate judge, including all requests for changes of trial dates. Such requests will not be considered absent a showing of due diligence in the timely progression of this case and the recent development of circumstances, unanticipated prior to the filing of the motion, which require that additional time be allowed.

¹ While treating medical and mental health care providers are generally not considered "specially retained experts," not all their opinions relate to the care and treatment of a patient. Their opinion testimony is limited to what is stated within their treatment documentation. As to each such expert, any opinions which are not stated within that expert's treatment records and reports must be separately and timely disclosed.

Dated this 16th day of January, 2020.

BY THE COURT:

s/ Susan M. Bazis United States Magistrate Judge